REMARKS

The specification was objected to for informalities. Applicant

invention.

amended. Claim 12 was objected to for informalities. Applicant requests reconsideration. Claim 12 was amended accordingly. Claims 10-14 and 16 were rejected as imparticular. Applicant requests reconsideration. The claims 10-14 and 16 were amended accordingly.

Claims 1-6, 10-11, and 13-16 were rejected as anticipated by

requests reconsideration. The specification has been accordingly

ATAA. Applicant requests reconsideration. Claims 7-8 were rejected as unpatentable over ATAA. Applicant requests reconsideration.

Claims 9 and 12 were rejected as unpatentable over ATAA in view of Amick. Applicant requests reconsideration. Claims 1, 13-14, and 16 were rejected as anticipated by Wallsten. Applicant requests reconsideration. The inventors executed invention disclosure documents between November and December 2002, describing the inventions, with an indication that the material in the invention disclosure will be published at the ATAA in April 2003. The application was filed within one year of the ATAA publication. The ATAA reference is not prior art and does not anticipate the present

Claims 1, 13-14 and 16 were rejected as anticipated by Wallsten. Claims 13-14 and 16 were rejected as anticipated by Kaji. Claims 2 and 4-10 were rejected as unpatentable over Wallsten in view of Kaji. Claim 3 was rejected as unpatentable over Wallsten in view of Struble. Claims 10-11 and 15 were rejected as unpatentable

over Wallsten in view of Dever. Claim 12 was rejected as unpatentable over Wallsten in view of Kaji in view of Minahan.

Claims 1-9 were rejected as unpatentable over Kaji in view Struble.

Claims 10-11 and 15 were rejected as unpatentable over Kaji in view of Struble in view Dever. Claim 12 was rejected as unpatentable over Kaji in view of Struble in view of Minahan. Applicant requests reconsideration.

The present invention uses an inflatable hinge, that when inflated determines, the angular displacement between two movable flat panels attached to the hinge. More particularly, the present invention includes a top film having a top circumferential length, the bottom film having a bottom circumferential length, the top and bottom circumferential lengths for angularly positioning the left and right panels.

Independent Claims 1 and 13 were rejected as anticipated by Wallsten. Wallsten does not teach using a flex circuit, does not teach using wrap around contacts, does not teach a left frame with adhesive, does not teach a right frame with adhesive, does not teach a coating over the right film and left film, does not teach a sublimation powder, does not teach a hinge interconnecting panel does not teach panels, does not teach hinges, does not teach using films to define the positing but rather the complete frame of channels that determines the position, does not teach a hinge has no two panels are shown to move independently of each other, and does not teach coating layer to define the positioning between tow panels. Wallsten teaches air bags, teaches a network of inflatable

channels functioning as a frame within the walls of an air bag, teaches channels disposed as part of the bag walls, and teaches using a frame of channels to define an air bag

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

Wallsten states: "Comprehensive experiments have shown that it is most suitable if the upper and lower parts 6, 7, forming the outer walls consist of a strong material, for example a textile fabric such as nylon, Orlon or glass fibre, or of some other synthetic or natural fibre material, in which case the bag wall can also be made of this material. By suitable choice of both material and weave, a limp and yet strong and flexible outer wall with relatively low extensibility can be obtained. In such a case, the inner wall may suitably consist of a thin preferably limp plastic material having good flexibility and elasticity greater than that of the outer wall. By good elasticity is meant that the material forming the inner wall can easily expand to the intended form and shape due to the forces operating during expansion, the inner wall having folds because the material has, for example, been folded or stretched in advance and/or because its strength properties easily permit extension due to plastic and/or elastic deformation. The folds 9 in FIGS. 3, 5, 7 and 9 indicate symbolically that the material has been folded and/or has good stretchability and can easily be expanded by means of extension in accordance with one of the methods mentioned. The two parts 6, 7 forming the outer wall can in this case suitably be joined by means of a seam of nylon or terylene thread, for example. Seams are suitable for various reasons, among which are that they give satisfactory strength and flexibility as well as providing a quick, simple, inexpensive

method of joining the two parts. In certain embodiments of the channels, it has been found suitable for the thin material of the inner wall to have an extensibility of at least 20 % in mutually perpendicular directions. (Col 5 lines 13-45)

Wallsten clearly uses the air bag for inflation. Wallsten does not have anticipatory panels but only walls 3 of the air bag disposed between frame hinges. The diameter and pressure of the air bag define the positions of the walls of the air bang. The hinges do not define that the positions of the walls, as the hinges can flex up to 180 determined by the diameter of the air bag, and not the top and bottom layers. Claim 1 is not anticipated by Wallsten at least because Wallsten does not have panels and does not use top and bottom layers of the hinges for defining the angular position of the non-existent panels.

Claim 13 includes the UV limitation that a coating disposed over the top film for passing the UV light for curing the curing resin and for static discharge protection of the film. Claim 13 was rejected as anticipated by Wallsten indicating that resin limitation is a product by process. In is clear that the present invention uses an UV transparent coating to cure a polymer for rigidity. Wallsten has not such function, but rather only relies upon air pressure and strong elastic properties of the material to keep the air bag inflated. Exemplar reliance upon a product by process is misplaced. The curing is in an uncured state before UV on-orbit exposure and a cured state when on-orbit, the claim addresses the resin in claim 1 as a "curing resin", indicating the

state the resin, as the device is being used and deployed, and not the making of the curing resin. The product is complete when launched having uncured resin in a first state. When deployed, the soft uncured resin changes its shape as well as it physical property of the materials. Nothing is being made on orbit as the curing resin was disposed in the device, and is part of the device. Just like a transistor being turned on and off, the resin has two states, uncured and cured during use. A solar cell degrades in space over time, yet, the solar cell in this degraded state "is not being made" by anyone, and the product is defined and made prior to use. There are no laboratory assistants attached to the satellite on orbit and floating in orbit, with a little UV lamp, curing the resin, before the product is used. It is the apparatus itself that is transforming itself do to its preexisting design. The curing resin is a resin have a uncured state before launch, and after unfurling, becomes cured by virtue of the action of the unfurling and exposure to SUN UV light on orbit. There is no PROCESS step being claimed. This is no product by process, as both the uncured and cured states of the curing resin are contemplated. Wallsten does not anticipate claim 13 at least because Wallsten does not disclose the use of a curing resin, that has two states when in use, a first uncured state and a second cured state, as is apparent from a reading of the claim 1 of the present invention.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2627

28

Independent claim 13 was rejected Independent Claims 13 was also rejected as unpatentable over Kaji in view of Struble.

Kaji teaches using a rod having predetermined diameter around which is disposed a flex circuit to form a bend in a flex material extending between two panels. The rod does not determine the angle of bend, but rather form a bend with that diameter so that the flex bend is round and large forming to the rod, so that the flex bend is not mechanical weaken leading to cracks and mechanical failure at the bend. The rod in Kaji does not determine the angular bend, but only determines the radius of the bend to prevent breakage at the bend.

Struble teaches a sublimation power in tube for erecting a frame, but Struble does not teach erecting panels are there are no panels and no hinges. Struble is merely a prior art teaching that sublimation powders can be used to inflate.

The combination of Kaji and Struble is impractical along the lines of the present invention. There is no way practical to combine Struble frames as hinges, because frames to not allow for the moving of independent panels. The combination of Kaji's rods that define a radius to prevent breakage and Struble's inflated frame does not include a layers for defining the angular position of deploying and moving panels.

Claims 10-11 and 15 were rejected as unpatentable over Kaji in view of Struble in view Dever. Dever teaches a TinOx and Mag fluoride for pass UV light and conducting static charge. Dever does not teach using a layer, that can be used for static discharge,

that is also for passing through which UV light is passed that function to cure resin. There is no suggest in Kaji, Struble, or Denver to use hinge layers for defining the position and a curing resin for locking the panels into that position.

Claim 12 was rejected as unpatentable over Kaji in view of Struble in view of Minahan. Minahan teaches wrap around contacts for solar cells. There is no suggest in Kaji, Struble, or Denver to use hinge layers for defining the position and a curing resin for locking the panels into that position. There is no teaching in Minahan to pass electrical wires around an inflatable hinge having defined positions.

Claim 1 claims an inflatable bladder, top and bottom films extending between panels with the top and bottom films defining the angular positioning. Claim 13 claims a top film defining the angular positioning between panels and a curing resin cured by passing UV light through a static discharge layer

, 22

28 | //

Cited references do not teach or suggest an inflatable hinge for moving panels to panel positions, do not teach or suggest an inflatable hinge having cover layers for determining the panel positions, to not teach or suggest a curing resin for locking the panels into the panel positions, and do not teach a UV static discharge layer for passing UV light to the curing resin for locking the panels into the panel positions. Allowance of the claims is requested. Derrick Michael Reid, Esq. The Aerospace Corporation PO Box 92957 M1/040Los Angeles, Ca 90009-2957 Reg. No. 32,096

Respectfully Submitted Derrick Michael Reid Derrick Michael Reid